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## BOND MARKET UPDATE

## BOND BUYERS INDEX OF MUNICIPAL BONDS

January 2001 - December2019


## OUTSTANDING DEBT SERVICE \& HISTORICAL STATISTICS

## Bond Election History

| Date | Vote Count |  |  |  | Amount Issued |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | For | Against | Result |  |
| November 19, 2005 | \$29,500,000 | 1,151 | 1,001 | Pass | \$29,500,000 |
| May 3, 2003 | \$22,200,000 | 808 | 1,371 | Fail | \$0 |
|  | \$ 4,200,000 | 650 | 1,391 | Fail | \$0 |
| February 22, 2003 | \$29,900,000 | 1,101 | 1,428 | Fail | \$0 |

Source: Municipal Advisory Council of Texas

## Historical Refunding Results

- Series 2008 Refunding Bonds
- Total Interest Cost Savings of $\mathbf{\$ 1 7 1 , 9 5 1}$
- 2012 Modification Agreement with First State Bank of Gainesville
- Additional Interest Cost Savings of \$290,403
- Series 2013 Refunding Bonds
- Total Interest Cost Savings of \$2,390,256
- Series 2014 Refunding Bonds
- Total Interest Cost Savings of \$2,218,989
- Series 2014A Refunding Bonds
- Total Interest Cost Savings of $\$ 1,033,444$ (Includes $\$ 300,000$ Cash Contribution for Principal Payoff)
- Series 2015 Refunding Bonds
- Total Interest Cost Savings of $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 0 6 , 7 8 8}$
- Combined Refunding Results Over the Past 11 Years
- Total Interest Cost Savings of \$7,911,831

GAINESVILLE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT Outstanding Voted Bond Debt Service


## Taxable Value History



## Tax Rate \& Fund Balance History

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |  | (5) |  | (6) | (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fiscal } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \text { Ending } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M\&O } \\ \text { Tax Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \&S } \\ \text { TaxRate } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Tax Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IS Fund } \\ & \text { Balance } \end{aligned}$ |  | General FundBalance |  | \% of Operating Expenditures |
| 2005/06 | \$1.5000 | \$0.0550 | \$1.5550 | \$ | 41,837 | \$ | 5,857,880 | 27\% |
| 2006/07 | \$1.3700 | \$0.3383 | \$1.7083 | \$ | 257,449 | \$ | 6,268,402 | 29\% |
| 2007/08 | \$1.0400 | \$0.2454 | \$1.2854 | \$ | 312,955 | \$ | 5,484,074 | 26\% |
| 2008/09 | \$1.0400 | \$0.2353 | \$1.2753 | \$ | 248,293 | \$ | 5,558,701 | 28\% |
| 2009/10 | \$1.0400 | \$0.2353 | \$1.2753 | \$ | 49,641 | \$ | 3,902,019 | 17\% |
| 2010/11 | \$1.0400 | \$0.2353 | \$1.2753 | \$ | 7,734 | \$ | 3,348,300 | 17\% |
| 2011/12 | \$1.0400 | \$0.2943 | \$1.3343 | \$ | 272,914 | \$ | 4,780,391 | 26\% |
| 2012/13 | \$1.0400 | \$0.2678 | \$1.3078 | \$ | 522,879 | \$ | 8,356,862 | 41\% |
| 2013/14 | \$1.0400 | \$0.2500 | \$1.2900 | \$ | 710,400 | \$ | 9,217,246 | 45\% |
| 2014/15 | \$1.0400 | \$0.2400 | \$1.2800 | \$ | 477,805 | \$ | 11,954,955 | 59\% |
| 2015/16 | \$1.0400 | \$0.2400 | \$1.2800 | \$ | 676,432 | \$ | 11,919,201 | 49\% |
| 2016/17 | \$1.1700 | \$0.1100 | \$1.2800 | \$ | 657,061 | \$ | 8,028,710 | 27\% |
| 2017/18 | \$1.1700 | \$0.1100 | \$1.2800 | \$ | 700,021 | \$ | 10,825,142 | 43\% |
| 2018/19 | \$1.1700 | \$0.1000 | \$1.2700 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019/20 | \$1.0684 | \$0.0800 | \$1.1484 |  |  |  |  |  |

## BOND POSITION COMPARISON

## Current Bond Rating Comparison

$\left.\begin{array}{ccc}\text { District } & \begin{array}{c}\text { S\&P } \\ \text { Rating }\end{array} & \end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Moody's } \\ \text { Rating }\end{array}\right]$

## Tax Base Per ADA

| District | Net Taxable Assessed Value Before Freeze | ADA | Tax Base Per ADA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mineral Wells ISD | \$ 901,426,801 | 2,945 | \$30.61 |
| Burkburnett ISD | \$ 942,535,898 | 2,959 | \$31.85 |
| Lake Worth ISD | \$1,037,731,935 | 3,088 | \$33.61 |
| Wichita Falls ISD | \$4,766,932,298 | 12,935 | \$36.85 |
| Springtown ISD | \$1,459,663,071 | 3,245 | \$44.98 |
| Gainesville ISD | \$1,305,718,937 | 2,898 | \$45.05 |
| Krum ISD | \$ 967,924,456 | 1,928 | \$50.20 |
| Aubrey ISD | \$1,212,615,500 | 2,317 | \$52.34 |
| Ponder ISD | \$ 791,274,486 | 1,457 | \$54.30 |
| Pilot Point ISD | \$ 903,501,930 | 1,306 | \$69.17 |
| Bridgeport ISD | \$1,453,241,428 | 1,942 | \$74.84 |
| Decatur ISD | \$2,566,187,225 | 3,228 | \$79.49 |
| Jacksboro ISD | \$ 819,202,725 | 994 | \$82.42 |
| Argyle ISD | \$2,485,903,412 | 2,936 | \$84.67 |

## Adjusted Debt to Assessed Value

District
Bridgeport ISD
Wichita Falls ISD
Pilot Point ISD
Gainesville ISD
Ponder ISD
Decatur ISD
Jacksboro ISD
Springtown ISD Krum ISD
Burkburnett ISD
Mineral Wells ISD
Lake Worth ISD
Aubrey ISD
Argyle ISD

Adjusted Debt
To Assessed Value
1.15\%
1.58\%
1.63\%
2.01\%
2.05\%
2.45\%
3.28\%
3.56\%
3.73\%
3.77\%
4.74\%
6.41\%
7.43\%
8.07\%

## Current Tax Rate Comparison

District
Gainesville ISD
Wichita Falls ISD
Bridgeport ISD
Decatur ISD
Springtown ISD
Pilot Point ISD
Krum ISD
Mineral Wells ISD
Jacksboro ISD
Burkburnett ISD
Ponder ISD
Argyle ISD
Aubrey ISD
Lake Worth ISD

| M\&O Tax Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { I\&S } \\ \text { Tax Rate } \end{gathered}$ | Total Tax Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1.0684 | \$0.0800 | \$1.1484 |
| \$0.9700 | \$0.1800 | \$1.1500 |
| \$0.9700 | \$0.2082 | \$1.1782 |
| \$0.9700 | \$0.2400 | \$1.2100 |
| \$1.0684 | \$0.1800 | \$1.2484 |
| \$1.0684 | \$0.2000 | \$1.2684 |
| \$1.0684 | \$0.3235 | \$1.3918 |
| \$1.0684 | \$0.3304 | \$1.3987 |
| \$0.9700 | \$0.4400 | \$1.4100 |
| \$1.0684 | \$0.3716 | \$1.4400 |
| \$0.9700 | \$0.4978 | \$1.4678 |
| \$1.0230 | \$0.4850 | \$1.5080 |
| \$1.0684 | \$0.5000 | \$1.5684 |
| \$1.0684 | \$0.5000 | \$1.5684 |

## PRELIMINARY BOND PROGRAM ANALYSIS

## Preliminary Bond Program Analysis

Scenario 1: $\$ 29,500,000$ Assuming Taxable Value Growth of $2.50 \%$ Per Year for 3 Years

## Assumptions:

Bond Election - Assumed to be May 2020
Issuance Date - Assumed to be August 2020
Amortization - Not-to-Exceed 25-Years with 10-Year Optional Early Redemption
Interest Rate - Assumed to be 4.00\%
Collection \% - Assumed to be 98\%
Use of Funds - Planned Use of Excess Funds for the Outstanding Bonds

Tax Impact:
$\$ 29,500,000$ Total Issuance $=12.0 \neq$ I\&S Tax Rate Increase (from 8.0申 to 20.0ф)
Note: Does Not Include M\&O Tax Rate Compression

## GAINESVILLE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Scenario 1: \$29,500,000 Assuming Taxable Value Growth of 2.50\% Per Year for 3 Years


## Tax Impact - Residential Home

Scenario 1: $\$ 29,500,000$ Assuming Taxable Value Growth of $2.50 \%$ Per Year for 3 Years

| Projected Cost to Homeowner for a 12.0¢ Increase for Voted Bonds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home Value |  |  |  |
| Home Value | After | Projected | Projected |
| Before | \$25,000 State | Annual | Monthly |
| Exemptions | Exemptions | Tax Increase | Tax Increase |
| \$50,000 | \$25,000 | \$30.00 | \$2.50 |
| 75,000 | 50,000 | 60.00 | 5.00 |
| 100,000 | 75,000 | 90.00 | 7.50 |
| 125,000 | 100,000 | 120.00 | 10.00 |
| 150,000 | 125,000 | 150.00 | 12.50 |
| 175,000 | 150,000 | 180.00 | 15.00 |
| 200,000 | 175,000 | 210.00 | 17.50 |
| 225,000 | 200,000 | 240.00 | 20.00 |
| 250,000 | 225,000 | 270.00 | 22.50 |
| No Tax Increase above the frozen level on the Homestead of Taxpayers 65 years of age and older who have applied for and received the Age 65 Freeze |  |  |  |

## Preliminary Bond Program Analysis

Scenario 2: $\$ 50,500,000$ Assuming Taxable Value Growth of $2.50 \%$ Per Year for 3 Years

## Assumptions:

Bond Election - Assumed to be May 2020
Issuance Date - Assumed to be August 2020
Amortization - Not-to-Exceed 25-Years with 10-Year Optional Early Redemption
Interest Rate - Assumed to be 4.00\%
Collection \% - Assumed to be 98\%
Use of Funds - Planned Use of Excess Funds for the Outstanding Bonds

Tax Impact:
$\$ 50,500,000$ Total Issuance $=22.0 \neq$ I\&S Tax Rate Increase (from 8.0申 to 30.0ф)
Note: Does Not Include M\&O Tax Rate Compression

## GAINESVILLE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Scenario 2: \$50,500,000 Assuming Taxable Value Growth of 2.50\% Per Year for 3 Years


## Tax Impact - Residential Home

Scenario 2: $\$ 50,500,000$ Assuming Taxable Value Growth of $2.50 \%$ Per Year for 3 Years

| Projected Cost to Homeowner for a $\mathbf{2 2 . 0 ¢}$ c Increase for Voted Bonds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home Value |  |  |  |
| Home Value | After | Projected | Projected |
| Before | \$25,000 State | Annual | Monthly |
| Exemptions | Exemptions | Tax Increase | Tax Increase |
| \$50,000 | \$25,000 | \$55.00 | \$4.58 |
| 75,000 | 50,000 | 110.00 | 9.17 |
| 100,000 | 75,000 | 165.00 | 13.75 |
| 125,000 | 100,000 | 220.00 | 18.33 |
| 150,000 | 125,000 | 275.00 | 22.92 |
| 175,000 | 150,000 | 330.00 | 27.50 |
| 200,000 | 175,000 | 385.00 | 32.08 |
| 225,000 | 200,000 | 440.00 | 36.67 |
| 250,000 | 225,000 | 495.00 | 41.25 |
| No Tax Increase above the frozen level on the Homestead of Taxpayers 65 years of age and older who have applied for and received the Age 65 Freeze |  |  |  |

## Preliminary Bond Program Analysis

Scenario 3: $\$ 71,500,000$ Assuming Taxable Value Growth of $2.50 \%$ Per Year for 3 Years

## Assumptions:

Bond Election - Assumed to be May 2020
Issuance Date - Assumed to be August 2020
Amortization - Not-to-Exceed 25-Years with 10-Year Optional Early Redemption
Interest Rate - Assumed to be 4.00\%
Collection \% - Assumed to be 98\%
Use of Funds - Planned Use of Excess Funds for the Outstanding Bonds

Tax Impact:
$\$ 71,500,000$ Total Issuance $=32.0 \neq$ I\&S Tax Rate Increase (from 8.0ф to 40.0ф)
Note: Does Not Include M\&O Tax Rate Compression

## GAINESVILLE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Scenario 3: \$71,500,000 Assuming Taxable Value Growth of 2.50\% Per Year for 3 Years


## Tax Impact - Residential Home

Scenario 3: \$71,500,000 Assuming Taxable Value Growth of 2.50\% Per Year for 3 Years

| Projected Cost to Homeowner for a 32.0¢ Increase for Voted Bonds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home Value |  |  |  |
| Home Value | After | Projected | Projected |
| Before | \$25,000 State | Annual | Monthly |
| Exemptions | Exemptions | Tax Increase | Tax Increase |
| \$50,000 | \$25,000 | \$80.00 | \$6.67 |
| 75,000 | 50,000 | 160.00 | 13.33 |
| 100,000 | 75,000 | 240.00 | 20.00 |
| 125,000 | 100,000 | 320.00 | 26.67 |
| 150,000 | 125,000 | 400.00 | 33.33 |
| 175,000 | 150,000 | 480.00 | 40.00 |
| 200,000 | 175,000 | 560.00 | 46.67 |
| 225,000 | 200,000 | 640.00 | 53.33 |
| 250,000 | 225,000 | 720.00 | 60.00 |
| No Tax Increase above the frozen level on the Homestead of Taxpayers 65 years of age and older who have applied for and received the Age 65 Freeze |  |  |  |

## Preliminary Bond Program Analysis

Scenario 4: $\$ 92,500,000$ Assuming Taxable Value Growth of $2.50 \%$ Per Year for 3 Years

## Assumptions:

Bond Election - Assumed to be May 2020
Issuance Date - Assumed to be August 2020
Amortization - Not-to-Exceed 25-Years with 10-Year Optional Early Redemption
Interest Rate - Assumed to be 4.00\%
Collection \% - Assumed to be 98\%
Use of Funds - Planned Use of Excess Funds for the Outstanding Bonds

Tax Impact:
$\$ 92,500,000$ Total Issuance $=42.0 \neq$ I\&S Tax Rate Increase (from 8.0ф to 50.0ф)
Note: Does Not Include M\&O Tax Rate Compression

## GAINESVILLE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Scenario 4: \$92,500,000 Assuming Taxable Value Growth of $2.50 \%$ Per Year for 3 Years


## Tax Impact - Residential Home

Scenario 4: $\$ 92,500,000$ Assuming Taxable Value Growth of $2.50 \%$ Per Year for 3 Years

| Projected Cost to Homeowner for a 42.0¢ Increase for Voted Bonds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home Value |  |  |  |
| Home Value | After | Projected | Projected |
| Before | \$25,000 State | Annual | Monthly |
| Exemptions | Exemptions | Tax Increase | Tax Increase |
| \$50,000 | \$25,000 | \$105.00 | \$8.75 |
| 75,000 | 50,000 | 210.00 | 17.50 |
| 100,000 | 75,000 | 315.00 | 26.25 |
| 125,000 | 100,000 | 420.00 | 35.00 |
| 150,000 | 125,000 | 525.00 | 43.75 |
| 175,000 | 150,000 | 630.00 | 52.50 |
| 200,000 | 175,000 | 735.00 | 61.25 |
| 225,000 | 200,000 | 840.00 | 70.00 |
| 250,000 | 225,000 | 945.00 | 78.75 |
| No Tax Increase above the frozen level on the Homestead of Taxpayers 65 years of age and older who have applied for and received the Age 65 Freeze |  |  |  |

> TEXAS SCHOOL FINANCE \& BONDS

## Texas School Bond Elections

- Since 2010, there have been 1,135 Texas School Bond Elections Representing $\$ 89.1$ Billion
- On Average, 113 Texas School Bond Elections Per Year
- On Average, 63\% Conducted in May and 37\% in November
- On Average, 9 out of 10 were Single Proposition Elections
- On Average, 75\% Passed All or Part
- Low Pass \% was 49\% in November 2010
- High Pass \% was 89\% in November 2015
- 2019 - 125 Bond Elections for $\$ 15.5$ Billion (\$12.4 Billion Successful)


## Senate Bill 30

- Stadium with seating for more than 1,000
- Natatorium
- Another Recreational Facility other than a gym, playground or play area
- Performing Arts Facility
- Housing for Teachers
- Technology Equipment other than security or infrastructure


## Texas School Finance

- M\&O Budget vs I\&S Budget
- House Bill 3
- Appraised Values
- Public Finance vs Personal Finance
- Federal Government Debt vs Local School Finance


## Bond Election Timing

- May 2, 2020
- Board Action to Call for the Bond Election
- On or Before February $14^{\text {th }}$
- Early Voting
- April $20^{\text {th }}$ through April $28^{\text {th }}$
- Bond Election
- May 2, 2020
- Canvass Bond Election Results
- May $5^{\text {th }}$ to May $13^{\text {th }}$
- 30-Day Contest Period
- 30 Days from the date the Board Canvasses the Bond Election Results
- Sell the Bonds
- Early August to Mid-September

